


Macroeconomics II

Respondents: 15
Answer Count: 10
Answer Frequency: 66.67%


1. What is your general opinion on this course? (1=Really bad, 5=Really good)

	Number of Responses
1	0 (0.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	3 (30.0%)
4	6 (60.0%)
5	1 (10.0%)
Don't know	0 (0.0%)
Total	10 (100.0%)




2. What is your opinion on the amount of material covered (1=Too little, 5=Too much)

	Number of Responses
1	0 (0.0%)
2	2 (20.0%)
3	4 (40.0%)
4	3 (30.0%)
5	1 (10.0%)
Don't know	0 (0.0%)
Total	10 (100.0%)




3. What is your opinion about the level of difficulty of the course (1=Really easy, 5=Really good)

	Number of Responses
1	0 (0.0%)
2	2 (20.0%)
3	2 (20.0%)
4	6 (60.0%)
5	0 (0.0%)
Don't know	0 (0.0%)
Total	10 (100.0%)




4. Approximately, how many hours per week did you spend studying during this course? (including lectures and seminars/exercises)

	Number of Responses
10 hours or less	3 (30.0%)
11-20 hours	1 (10.0%)
21-30 hours	6 (60.0%)
31-40 hours	0 (0.0%)
More than 40 hours	0 (0.0%)
Don't know	0 (0.0%)
Total	10 (100.0%)




5. Did you have access to the course literature?

	Number of Responses
Yes	10 (100.0%)
No	0 (0.0%)
Total	10 (100.0%)




6. Have you read the course literature?

	Number of Responses
Yes, all	1 (10.0%)
Yes, most of it	2 (20.0%)
Yes, about half	2 (20.0%)
Yes, but very little	5 (50.0%)
No, not at all	0 (0.0%)
Total	10 (100.0%)




7. What is your opinion on the course literature? (1=Really bad, 5=Really good)

	Number of Responses
1	0 (0.0%)
2	3 (30.0%)
3	2 (20.0%)
4	5 (50.0%)
5	0 (0.0%)
Don't know	0 (0.0%)
Total	10 (100.0%)




8. What percentage of lectures did you attend?

What percentage of lectures did you attend?	Number of Responses
66 - 69	0 (0.0%)
70 - 73	1 (10.0%)
74 - 77	0 (0.0%)
78 - 81	1 (10.0%)
82 - 85	0 (0.0%)
86 - 89	0 (0.0%)
90 - 93	2 (20.0%)
94 - 97	0 (0.0%)
98 - 101	6 (60.0%)
102 - 105	0 (0.0%)
Total	10 (100.0%)




9. Did you usually read the literature before you attended lectures?

	Number of Responses
Yes, allways	1 (10.0%)
Yes, sometimes	5 (50.0%)
No, never	4 (40.0%)
Total	10 (100.0%)




10. What is your opinion on the lectures by John Hassler? (1=Really bad, 5=Really good)

	Number of Responses
1	1 (10.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	2 (20.0%)
4	5 (50.0%)
5	2 (20.0%)
Don't know	0 (0.0%)
Total	10 (100.0%)




11. What is your opinion on the level of difficulty of the lectures by John Hassler? (1=Really easy, 5=Really hard)

	Number of Responses
1	0 (0.0%)
2	2 (20.0%)
3	4 (40.0%)
4	4 (40.0%)
5	0 (0.0%)
Don't know	0 (0.0%)
Total	10 (100.0%)




12. What is your opinion on the lectures by Sergio de Ferra? (1=Really bad, 5=Really good)

	Number of Responses
1	0 (0.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	1 (10.0%)
4	1 (10.0%)
5	7 (70.0%)
Don't know	1 (10.0%)
Total	10 (100.0%)




13. What is your opinion on the level of difficulty of the lectures by Sergio de Ferra? (1=Really easy, 5=Really hard)

	Number of Responses
1	0 (0.0%)
2	2 (20.0%)
3	4 (40.0%)
4	2 (20.0%)
5	1 (10.0%)
Don't know	1 (10.0%)
Total	10 (100.0%)




14. What percentage of TA sessions did you attend?

What percentage of TA sessions did you attend?	Number of Responses
0 - 10	1 (10.0%)
11 - 21	1 (10.0%)
22 - 32	0 (0.0%)
33 - 43	0 (0.0%)
44 - 54	1 (10.0%)
55 - 65	0 (0.0%)
66 - 76	1 (10.0%)
77 - 87	2 (20.0%)
88 - 98	1 (10.0%)
99 - 109	3 (30.0%)
Total	10 (100.0%)




15. What is your opinion on the TA sessions with Gualtiero Azzalini? (1=Really bad, 5=Really good)

	Number of Responses
1	0 (0.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	3 (30.0%)
4	5 (50.0%)
5	0 (0.0%)
Don't know	2 (20.0%)
Total	10 (100.0%)




16. What is your opinion on the level of difficulty of the TA sessions by Gualtiero Azzalini? (1=Too easy, 5=To hard)

	Number of Responses
1	1 (10.0%)
2	1 (10.0%)
3	5 (50.0%)
4	1 (10.0%)
5	0 (0.0%)
Don't know	2 (20.0%)
Total	10 (100.0%)




17. What is your opinion on the TA sessions with Jose Gallegos? (1=Really bad, 5=Really good)

	Number of Responses
1	0 (0.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	1 (10.0%)
4	1 (10.0%)
5	6 (60.0%)
Don't know	2 (20.0%)
Total	10 (100.0%)




18. What is your opinion on the level of difficulty of the TA sessions by Jose Gallegos? (1=Too easy, 5=To hard)

	Number of Responses
1	1 (11.1%)
2	1 (11.1%)
3	3 (33.3%)
4	2 (22.2%)
5	0 (0.0%)
Don't know	2 (22.2%)
Total	9 (100.0%)




19. Did you complete the examination?

	Number of Responses
Yes	10 (100.0%)
No	0 (0.0%)
Total	10 (100.0%)




20. How well did the examination reflect the course in your view? (1=Really bad, 5=Really good)

	Number of Responses
1	0 (0.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	1 (10.0%)
4	5 (50.0%)
5	4 (40.0%)
Don't know	0 (0.0%)
Total	10 (100.0%)




21. In case you know the result, did you pass the examination?

In case you know the result, did you pass the examination?	Number of Responses
Yes	0 (0.0%)
No	0 (0.0%)
Don't know	10 (100.0%)
Total	10 (100.0%)



22. Are you satisfied with the administrative routines around the course? (1=Really bad, 5=Really good)

	Number of Responses
1	0 (0.0%)
2	1 (10.0%)
3	2 (20.0%)
4	3 (30.0%)
5	3 (30.0%)
Don't know	1 (10.0%)
Total	10 (100.0%)



23. If you have any additional comments on the course you may write them here:

If you have any additional comments on the course you may write them here:

During the last weeks there were some scheduling mistake and very little time to cover the last part of the course. And the last PS was due the same day that the professor presented the material. That was not very nice.

Professor Hassler's slides are way too synthetic, hence it's not easy to study on them. Most of the additional readings are marginally related to what we covered in class

This course was great and I learned a lot, even though I have studied some of the models before. But I think that the lectures on time series analysis (i.e. HP filter and VAR analysis) could be removed and instead focus could be on some extensions of the NK model for example. I think it would be better to leave the empirical macro stuff to another course. It would be great to have some introduction to heterogenous agents as well in this course. Otherwise I think that the lectures were well-planned (although we didn't have time to cover everything in part 2) and structured.

There is a strong overlap between the RBC part taught by John Hassler (both in terms of lectures and especially problem sets) and the Economic Growth part in Macro I as taught by Timo Boppart. Reducing that overlap may allow for additional, more interesting material to be taught in John's part.